



The
PESHAWAR HIGH COURT
Peshawar

All communications should be addressed to the Registrar Peshawar High Court, Peshawar and not to any official by name.



Exch: 9210149-58

Off: 9210135

Fax: 9210170

www.peshawarhighcourt.gov.pk

info@peshawarhighcourt.gov.pk

phcpsh@gmail.com

No. 4770-804/SDJ/D.I

Dated Peshawar, the 15-12-2020

To

All the District & Sessions Judges/ Zilla Qazis,
In Khyber Pakhtunkhwa.

Subject: **ERRORS IN CHRONOLOGICAL LIST.**

Dear Sir/Madam,

I am directed to refer to the subject noted above and to enclose herewith common errors/mistakes in the chronological list and to say that it has been notice^d that despite pointing out mistakes, the staff of District Judiciary as well as the Judicial Officers have shown indifferent attitude for their rectification. Therefore, the competent authority has been pleased to direct that District and Sessions Judge being administrative head of the district and every Judicial Officer in particular will ensure that aforementioned mistakes shall not be repeated in future.

Sincerely yours,

(KHAWAJA WAJIH-UD-DIN)
REGISTRAR

[Signature]

11.12

Chronological List Errors

Invalid Case Category Selection or sometime instead of selecting from drop down menu, it is typed manually or copy/pasted.

- i. **Date of Original Institution:** In the chronological list, separate columns for month, year and day are provided in Date Related Columns (Institution date, Transfer In Date, Remanded In date, Disposal Date) however, it is observed that wrong entry are made in the aforementioned relevant sub columns for example: in the year column, month is mentioned, which causes hurdles during analysis at case level.
- ii. **Status/Proceedings:** It is observed that the “status/proceeding” column for pending cases is missed without entry or sometime not properly selected from the list which causes hurdles during stage-wise analysis.
- iii. **Blank Rows:** It is observed that blank rows are inserted in the chronological list in between the cases which causes hurdles in calculations while analysis.
- iv. **Inconsistency between Chronological list and Statistical Data in NAQSHA:** It is observed that the provided details in the chorological list does not match with the statistical details provided in the Unit Policy “Naqsha” as given below:
 - a. Total number of cases instituted in the Naqsha for the reporting month should be equal to the number of cases mentioned in institution and remanded in columns of the Chronological list for the reporting month.
 - b. Total number of pending cases (category wise) in the Naqsha should be equal to the pending cases of the chorological list.
 - c. Total number of cases disposed of in the Naqsha should be equal to the total number of disposal (without Transferred-out) of the chronological list.
 - d. Total number of Transferred out cases in the Naqsha should be aligned with the Transfer-Out cases (under disposal mode of the chronological list)
 - e. Year-wise detail of each category should match with the category wise pending case in the Naqsha and in the chronological list.

Other Miscellaneous Errors List

Besides the aforementioned errors, it is also observed that very rarely the Control Centre of the Unit Policy Template is not properly filled, particularly in the columns of Net Working Days specifically for those judicial officers who work in multiple courts in a month.

Additionally, it is also observed that sometimes proper selection of the court status is missing especially in case of specialized courts (i.e. MCTC, GBV, Child Protection etc.)

Also, the summary total of Murder/Attempted murder cases are usually not matched with the Category Code 24-001 in Session Courts and 14-001 in the Civil Courts.